IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.8084 OF 2005

Sangita Sunil Ahire .. Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.Vikas Shivarkar for applicant

Mrs.S.D.Shinde, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 21st December 2005.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Shivarkar for applicant and Mrs.Shinde for State.

2. Perused the application and statement of Tukaram Sathe. It appears that the deceased was displeased with the relations of her son with present applicant as also his way of life including his habits. The only role attributed to the present applicant is that suspecting her illicit relations with the son of deceased, she has conspired with the son in killing the deceased. It is not disputed that the son is enlarged on bail. The version, prima facie, indicates a chain of events pertaining to the life led by the deceased and her relations with said Tukaram Sathe. Apart from being woman accused, considering the limited role attributed to her, interest of justice would be served if applicant is enlarged on bail with stringent conditions. Accordingly, following order:-

i) Applicant shall be released on bail in connection with C.R.No.168 of 2005 registered with Nigdi police station

in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one/two sureties in the like amount.

ii) Applicant shall not enter city limits of Nigdi till conclusion of trial and shall reside at the residence of Nagnath Sadashiv Tipare at Sangvi Bungalow, Taluka Phaltan, Dist.Satara.

iii) Applicant shall attend Phaltan police station, Dist.Satara once in a fortnight.

iii) Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement or threat to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with prosecution evidence.

iv) Applicant shall cooperate with the learned Trial Judge for expeditious

disposal of the trial. Any attempt by the applicant to delay the trial may be ground for cancellation of bail.

v) Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

vi) Application disposed of.

vii) Parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J)